

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | 1 | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/075,726 02/14/2002 | | 02/14/2002 | Torsten Zech | C 2397 COGG | 1641 | |
| 23657 | 7590 | 09/12/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| COGNIS CORPORATION PATENT DEPARTMENT | | | | ALEXANDER, LYLE | | |
| 300 BROOK | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| AMBLER, | AMBLER, PA 19002 | | | | 1743 | |
| • | | | | DATE MAILED 00/12/200 | _ | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| · | | |
|-------------------|--------------|--|
| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| 10/075,726 | ZECH ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Lyle A. Alexander | 1743 | |

| • | Lyle A. Alexander | 1743 | |
|--|--|---|-------------------------------------|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 23 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF | | • | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o | Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | rce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f). | g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F | on. ILED WITHIN |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropri | ate extension fee |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | s of the date of e appeal. Since |
| 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b | out prior to the date of filing a brief | will not be entered by | acauso |
| (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | nsideration and/or search (see NO` w); | TE below); | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | | | the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | | ected claims. | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11 | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | mpliant Amendment | PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | , | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | | - |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . | | ll be entered and an e | xplanation of |
| Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | t before or on the date of filing a No I sufficient reasons why the affidav | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is | t be entered necessary and |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. So | al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 | ls to provide a). |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | • | |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. | does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowar | ce because: |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. ☐ Other: | PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N | lo(s)/) | |
| | | K | |
| | | Lyle A Alexander Primary Examiner | |

Art Unit: 1743



Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed claim language "different forms..." is not clear what forms of reactor are contemplated and if this scope is supported by the original disclosure. Such amendments would require further consideration under 35 USC 112 and further search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' state the proposed claim language more clearly defines the type of reactors. The Office does not agree and maintains the rejections of record.